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SUMMARY Several full-scale, long-term tests on instrumented piles performed since the 1960s through the 1990s are presented. The results of the tests show that large drag force will develop in piles installed in soft and loose soils. The test cases are from Norway, Sweden, Japan, Canada, Australia, Hawaii (USA), and Singapore and involve driven steel pile piles and precast concrete piles. The test results show that the transfer of load from the soil to the pile through negative skin friction, as well as from the pile back to the soil through positive shaft resistance, is governed by effective stress and that already very small movement will result in mobilization of ultimate values of shaft shear. The pile toe resistance, on the other hand, is determined by downdrag of the pile and the resulting pile toe penetration. Reconsolidation after the pile installation with associated dissipation of pore pressures will result in significant drag force. An equilibrium of force in the pile will develop, where the sustained loads on the pile head and the drag force are equal to the positive shaft resistance plus the pile toe resistance. The location of the force equilibrium — the neutral plane — is also where the pile and the soil move equally. The drag force is of importance mostly for very long piles, piles longer than 100 pile diameters, for which the pile structural strength could be exceeded. However, downdrag, i.e., settlement of the piled foundation, is a very important issue and particularly for low-capacity short piles. Soil settlement at the neutral plane will result in a downdrag of the pile. The magnitude of the downdrag will determine the magnitude of the pile toe penetration into the soil, which will determine the pile toe resistance and affect the location of the neutral plane. Nature's iteration of force and soil settlement will decide the final location of the neutral plane.

The text and figures in the following are reformatted from the original journal presentation, but no other changes have been made.
INTRODUCTION

The 1960s saw an upsurge of interest in performing full-scale instrumented piles to study the magnitude and development of negative skin friction due to soil settling around the piles. Tests were performed in Norway, Sweden, Japan, and Canada. The author has revisited the pioneering papers publishing the results and presents here a summary of a reanalysis of the records. One of these tests was started in June 1968 by the Swedish Geotechnical Institute and consisted of recording load distribution in two instrumented, 300 mm diameter, single, precast concrete piles driven through a 40 m thick clay deposit and 15 m into an underlying sand deposit. The results obtained during the first 160 days and 1,300 days were published by Fellenius and Broms (1969) and Fellenius (1971; 1972), respectively. The study continued beyond 1972 with the placing in September 1973 of an earth fill over a 41 m diameter circular area around the piles followed by frequent monitoring of forces in the piles and settlement until the site was closed-up in 1983. The measurements taken until mid-1975 were written up by the Swedish Geotechnical Institute in Swedish (Bjerin 1977). This paper reports the results until the end of the field test in August 1983, 5,500 days after the start.

REVISITING PUBLISHED FULL-SCALE TESTS

NGI Sörenga Site

In April 1962, the Norwegian Geotechnical Institute started a full-scale test on a telltale instrumented steel pile at Sörenga near Oslo (Bjerrum and Johannessen 1965). The test consisted of measurements on a 55 m long, 470 mm diameter pipe pile driven in an area to be reclaimed from the sea by means of a 10 thick fill. The natural soil at the site consisted of a 43 m thick deposit of marine clay on bedrock, a calcareous schist. The natural water content of the clay was about 40 % at the sea bed reducing to about 30 % at the bedrock. The liquid limit was about 10 % larger than the water content. The undrained shear strength ranged from about 20 kPa at the sea bed increasing to about 70 kPa at the bedrock.

The placing of the fill initiated a consolidation process in the clay deposit. Fig. 1 presents the measured distribution of settlement, and Fig. 2 the distribution of excess pore pressures measured in April 1964, two years after the pile driving and start of placing the fill. Fig. 2 also shows the calculated effective stress after full dissipation of the excess pore pressures. The difference, as indicated, is the effective stress existing in April 1964. The settlement resulted in negative skin friction along the test pile, accumulating to drag force in the pile. The drag force caused the pile to shorten, and Fig. 3 shows the pile shortenings measured one year (May 1963) and two years (April 1964) after the pile driving. Bjerrum and Johannessen used the pile shortenings to calculate the distribution of stress in the pile. The stress data have been converted to load in the pile and are presented in Fig. 4. (The load values are plotted, not at mid-point of each measuring length, L, but at 0.58L down from the upper end of the measuring length, L, as recommended by Fellenius (2002) for plotting of loads evaluated from telltale measurements).
As indicated in Fig. 4, a calculated drag force distribution for a constant beta-coefficient of 0.25 matches reasonably the measured drag force distribution of April 1964. Also included is the drag force distribution after full dissipation of pore pressures calculated using same beta-coefficient. A calculation using the undrained shear strength resulted in a calculated drag force distribution very similar to that calculated for a beta-coefficient of 0.25. However, in contrast to the effective stress calculations, the calculations using the undrained shear strength cannot be used to reproduce the measured changes in drag force for the actual distributions of excess pore pressure.

Bjerrum et al. (1969) reported that in 1967, after an additional three years of measurements, the maximum load in the pile and the settlement of the clay had increased to 4,000 kN and 2,000 mm, respectively, and that the movement of the pile toe into the calcareous schist, i.e., the downdrag, amounted to 100 mm. The observations mean that the neutral plane was located close to the pile toe and the maximum load in the pile is also the toe resistance of the pile in the calcareous schist. As the maximum load of 4,000 kN also corresponds to a stress of about 250 MPa, the structural strength of the steel, it is possible that instead of the pile toe being forced into the bedrock, the mentioned 100-mm toe movement is due to that the steel pile yielded structurally at the pile toe.

**NGI Herøya Site**

In 1969, the Norwegian Geotechnical Institute published results of additional full-scale investigations (Bjerrum et al. 1969) now performed at three sites in 1962 through 1963. One of the additional test sites was located at Herøya in the Oslo Harbor, where, to construct a dock, land was reclaimed from the sea by placing a 6.5 m fill over the sea bed. The soil consists of an approximately 25 m thick layer of marine clay, having a water content of about 30% very close to the liquid limit — somewhat different to the clay at the Sörenga site. A gravel layer deposited on bedrock existed below the clay at depths ranging from 30 m to 35 m. Before the placing of the fill, the undrained shear strength ranged from about 20 kPa at the top of the clay layer to about 40 kPa immediately above the gravel layer. After two years of consolidation, the undrained shear strength had increased to about 40 kPa at the sea bed, but no change in undrained shear strength was found to have occurred at the lower boundary of the clay layer.

In 1966, four 300 mm diameter, telltale-instrumented pipe piles were driven at the Herøya site. The piles were driven closed-toe with an enlarged size, 400 mm rock shoe, "Oslo-point". The results from of two of the test piles are quoted in the following. The surface of one of the two test piles was treated with a 1 mm thick bitumen coat and the other was untreated. The settlement of the sea bed amounted to 160 mm during the first year after the driving of the test piles. Similar to that shown in Fig. 2, Fig. 5 shows the measured excess pore pressures remaining in May 1967 and the calculated effective stress after full dissipation of the pore pressures. The difference is the effective stress existing in May 1967. Fig. 6 shows the distribution of shortening measured in May 1967 for the bitumen-coated and the uncoated piles. That the bitumen is
The pile shortenings measured in May 1967 were converted load in the pile, and the load distributions for the two test piles are shown in Fig. 8 (plotted at the 0.58L-point of each measuring length, L, indicated by the stepped curve). The load distribution of the uncoated pile shows how the negative skin friction accumulates to a maximum drag force value of about 1,100 kN at about 25 m depth. Below this depth, the shaft shear turned to positive shaft resistance and the load in the pile reduced with depth to a mobilized toe resistance of about 550 kN. The negative and positive direction loads are in equilibrium. The location of the maximum value — the location of the force equilibrium — is called the neutral plane. The paper by Bjerrum et al. (1969) is the first to show that the development of a force equilibrium is indeed the manifestation of load transfer for piles in settling soil. For comparison to the effective stress analysis, Fig. 8 also shows a distribution of drag force calculated for a beta-coefficient of 0.3 applied to the effective stress of May 1967. The agreement is not as good as that shown for the Sörenga pile, but still remarkably good considering the crude telltale instrumentation system employed in the test.

Bjerrum et al. (1969) reports that the ground surface settled 200 mm and the pile head settled 33 mm. Combined with the about 4 mm pile shortening at the neutral plane, this means that the settlement at the neutral plane and, therefore, also the downdrag of the pile was 29 mm, and the pile toe penetration into the gravel deposit above the bedrock was about 25 mm, or about 6% of the pile toe diameter (resulting in the 540 kN pile toe resistance).
The results of the other full-scale tests in the Norwegian clay (Bjerrum et al. 1969) show similarly large drag forces, ranging from 1,200 kN through 4,000 kN and that the negative skin friction correlates well to an effective stress analysis applying a beta-coefficient of 0.2 through 0.3. For five of the test cases, the measured ground settlements ranged from 70 mm through 2,000 mm. For the sixth case, where the test piles were driven at a site where no new fill was placed, only very small settlement occurred, which was caused by reconsolidation after the driving and ongoing secondary compression. Yet, the measured drag force, 3,000 kN, on the piles driven at this site was as large as for where large settlement was measured.

In summary, the tests in Norway showed that drag forces can be very large, negative skin friction is a function of effective stress, and a force equilibrium will develop somewhere down the pile. It is notable that, although the observations were included in the 1969 paper, somehow the geotechnical practice missed to draw the conclusion that the relative movement between the pile and the soil needed to fully develop the shaft shear is insignificantly small. That is, ostensibly settling soils are not a necessary requirement for large drag forces to develop on long piles.

**Fukagawa, Tokyo, Japan**

The proceedings of the Mexico ISSMFE conference also contained a paper by Endo et al (1969) reporting on a full-scale test on three, strain-gage instrumented, 43 m embedment, single, 609 mm (24 inches) diameter steel pipe piles with a wall thickness of 9.5 mm (0.375 inch). Below a 2 m thick surface fill, the soil profile consisted of silty sand followed by 37 m of compressible sandy silt, clay, and silt, 4 m of silt, and loose sand to large depth. The groundwater table was located at a depth of 2 m. An ongoing pumping of water from the sand below 43 m depth had created a steep downward gradient at the site and a consolidation of the compressible soil layers. The piles were instrumented with strain-gages placed at every 6 m length of the piles, starting at a depth of 8 m, and seven settlement gages and seven piezometers were installed at 6 m intervals in the soil near the piles. Fig. 9 shows the soil profile with basic soil parameters and Fig. 10 shows the pore pressure distribution at the start of the monitoring in June 1964 and about two years later in April 1966 (672 days).

The pore pressures underwent only small changes during the monitoring period, reducing a further about 10 kPa to 20 kPa below 10 m depth.

A diesel hammer with a nominal energy of 115 kJ was used to drive the piles into the surface of the sand at 43 m depth terminating the driving at a very light resistance of 25 mm/blow. One pile was driven open-toe and two closed-toe. One of the latter two was driven inclined by 8° to the vertical (1H:7V).

The consolidation settlement caused the soil to hang on the piles. For the vertical, closed-toe pile, Fig. 11 shows the loads (converted from the strain-gage values) versus time over the two years long monitoring period. Fig. 12 shows the load distributions in the same pile at five different times.

---

**Fig. 7** Heröya site. Measured total pile shortening During May 1966 through June 1967. Data from Bjerrum et al. (1969).

**Fig. 8** Heröya site. Measured and calculated distributions of load. Data from Bjerrum et al. (1969).
Fig. 9  Fukagawa site. Soil profile with water content and plastic and liquid limits. Data from Endo et al. (1969).

Fig. 10  Fukagawa site. Measured distribution of pore pressure at start of monitoring and two years later. Data from Endo et al. (1969).

Fig. 11  Fukagawa site. Load measured at different times and depths in the vertical closed-toe test pile. Data from Endo et al. (1969).

Fig. 12  Fukagawa site. Vertical distribution of load in the vertical closed-toe pile. Data from Endo et al. (1969).
As shown in Fig. 13A, while the open-toe pile mobilized about half as much toe resistance as the other two, the development of drag force in the three piles was about the same. The closed-toe piles (one vertical and one inclined) show about the same load distribution. Near the ground surface and to a depth of about 25 m, the distribution of drag force in the open-toe pile was about equal to that of the closed-toe piles, but the drag forces differed below this depth. However, over the about ten metre length above the pile toe, the slope of the distribution curve of the open-toe pile is similarly to that of the closed-toe piles, indicating that the unit shaft shear, here in the positive direction, is the about the same for the three piles along this length. In contrast, in the in-between about ten metre long zone, from depths of 25 m through 35 m, where the transition occurs from negative direction shear to positive direction, the open-toe pile exhibits a longer transition zone, starting slightly above the 25 m record, resulting in an appearance of a smaller magnitude shaft shear immediately below 25 m.

The neutral plane is the term for the location of the force equilibrium in the pile. It is also the term for the location of where there is no relative movement between the pile and the soil, i.e., where the pile and the soil settle equally, as demonstrated in Fig. 13B. The paper by Endo et al. is the first to present observations revealing and confirming this fact. The understanding that the neutral plane is determined by both these two aspects is important. While the location of the force equilibrium can be determined by analysis of resistance distribution or directly by testing an instrumented pile, in contrast, the location of no relative movement between the soil and pile, is much more prone to be in error when determined from settlement analysis. Determining the location of the neutral plane from the location of the force equilibrium is a key to the settlement analysis of a pile group, as indicated by Fellenius (1984; 1989; 2004). The location of the neutral plane is influenced by the pile toe resistance, which is determined by the magnitude of the toe penetration. Endo et al. (1969) provides data on movement of the pile toe and the mobilized pile toe resistance for the start of the monitoring and at three times during the monitoring. Fig. 14 is prepared from combining these data and shows the mobilized toe resistance as a function of the net toe penetration. The four data points show a surprisingly linear trend. A more gradual shape similar to the dashed curve in the figure would perhaps have been expected. However, the 22 mm penetration after the start of the monitoring (the zero point is unknown) corresponds to only about 4% of the pile diameter and it is not unrealistic for the toe resistance measurements to show a linear trend with increasing penetration at such small penetration.

![Fig. 13A](Fukagawa site. Distribution of load in full-length piles. Data from Endo et al. (1969).)

![Fig. 13B](Fukagawa site. Distribution of soil and pile settlement 672 days after start of monitoring. Data from Endo et al. (1969).)
The author has fitted an effective stress analysis to the load distribution data points of the two-year measurements of April 1966 (Day 672) for the vertical closed-toe pile, applying the then measured pore pressure distribution. The data points, the calculated curve fitted to the data, and the so-determined beta-coefficients are presented in Fig. 15. The beta-coefficients are about 0.3, which is similar to the values back-calculated for the Sörenga and Heröya cases. The measured toe resistance corresponds to a toe bearing coefficient, \( N_t \), equal to 8, which is a low value and signifies that the pile toe is in a very loose soil or that the net toe penetration into the sand is too small to generate a significant toe resistance. The thin dashed line shows the load distribution when assuming that all shear forces act in the positive direction, representing the distribution in a static loading test to the same mobilized toe resistance.

**Berthierville, Quebec, Canada**

Bozozuk and Labrecque (1969) and Bozozuk (1970, 1972; 1981) described a long-term measurement case history from Berthierville, Quebec, Canada. In October 1964, a highway embankment with a final 11 m height, a 300 m base, and 27 m road width was placed on an 80 m thick deposit of clay. In April 1966, a tell-tale instrumented, 324 mm diameter closed-toe pipe pile was driven through the embankment to a depth of 40 m below the original ground surface. Fig. 16A shows the soil profile with basic soil parameters indicating that the upper about 18 m consists of stratified fine soils deposited on a Champlain clay deposit. Fig. 16B shows the calculated distributions of initial and final effective stresses (after full dissipation of excess pore pressures) and the distribution of excess pore pressures measured five years after the pile driving, i.e., April 1971. The excess pore pressure measured in the lowest piezometer, if believed, would indicate that the placing of the embankment induced an excess pore pressure that would be larger than the embankment stress and remain so even five years after the placing of the fill. The author thinks that a more plausible five-year pore pressure distribution would be more like the dashed line shown continuing to 50 m depth, which line is drawn assuming the excess pore pressure below 18 m depth would have the same relative portion of the imposed embankment stress as the one at 18 m depth.

The embankment settled significantly. At the time of the driving the test pile, 550 days after the start of the placing of the embankment, the ground surface had settled about 1.7 m. The vertical alignment of the roadbed where it connected to the bridge was continually corrected by adding pavement asphalt. Fig. 17 shows that during five years of observations after the driving of the test pile, the original ground surface next to the test pile settled an additional 540 mm. Settlement gages placed at depths of 6 m, 12 m, 30 m, and 44 m showed that most of the settlement occurred above 30 m depth. Fig. 18A shows the vertical distribution of settlement and the downdrag (i.e., settlement) of the test pile. The pile head settled 470 mm, 70 mm less than the ground surface. The drag force distribution in the pile is shown in Fig. 18B. The two figures show the same location of the point of equal movement (Fig. 18A) and the point of equal negative and positive direction forces (Fig. 18B), i.e., the neutral plane — at a depth of about 12 m to 14 m.

The distribution of load in the pile was calculated from pile shortenings measured by means of eight telltale. Fig. 18B indicates that the slope of the load distribution curve below the neutral plane over an about 10 m length is about half of that above the neutral plane and that, in contrast, the distribution curve is almost vertical over the following about 20 m length. The slopes are indicative of shaft resistance. If the two slopes are true, they would indicate that the positive shaft resistance between the neutral plane and the next 10 m below would be more than about twice as large as the negative skin friction.
immediately above the neutral plane. Moreover, they would indicate that a next to zero positive shaft resistance would have been mobilized along the lowest 20 m length of the pile. However, the soil above neutral plane is not that different from the soil below, and, definitely, the soil below the neutral plane is quite homogeneous throughout the length of the pile and beyond. Therefore, the positive shaft resistance mobilized cannot differ much along this length of the pile. Were the values true, the effective stress within the 10 m length below the neutral plane must be large, while at the same time being

very small over the 20 m length further below. The author believes the three lower telltales are affected by friction in their guide pipes, which has resulted in inaccurate load data below the neutral plane.

Bozozuk (1981) reported the results of a static loading test carried out ten years after the pile driving. Fig. 19 presents the measured load-movement curve. At the applied loads of 510 kN, 1,020 kN, 1,520 kN, 2,030 kN, and 2,820 kN, the load levels were maintained for one day before continuing the test. No significant movement developed during these load-holding occasions. At the applied load of 3,060 kN, movements became progressively large as the ultimate resistance of the pile was reached. During the following two days, the load that the soil could sustain gradually reduced. In the reloading cycle after unloading, the previous maximum load could not again be reached.

The vertical distribution of load in the pile evaluated at the five levels of sustained load is shown Fig. 20. The figure also shows the 10-year distribution determined immediately before the static loading test and, for comparison, the distributions at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years. The latter curves indicate how the increasing values of applied load progressively made the shaft shear to change from negative to positive direction and that the applied load did not add to the existing maximum drag force at the neutral plane, demonstrating that live load does not add to the drag force in a pile but replaces it.
Fig. 18A  Berthierville site. Distribution of settlement of the soil and test pile with depth measured over 5 years after the driving of the test pile. Data from Bozozuk (1972).

Fig. 18B  Berthierville site. Distribution of load in the test pile at 1 year, 3 years, and 5 years after the driving of the test pile. Data from Bozozuk (1972).

Fig. 19  Berthierville site. Load-movement curves from static loading test at ten years after the pile driving. Data from Bozozuk (1981).

No measurements of load distribution could be obtained for the 3,060 kN plunging load. The drag force of about 1,500 kN was supported by an equal magnitude shaft resistance present in the portion of the pile below the neutral plane—the point of force equilibrium. This means that half of the about 3,000 kN applied load served to eliminate the drag force and the remaining half served to keep mobilizing the positive resistance below. In other words, the test results proved that the negative skin friction and the positive shaft resistance are fully mobilized shear forces. Moreover, the results show that the forces slowly built up over a ten-year period and the forces mobilized in a relatively rapidly performed loading test were very similar in magnitude.

Fig. 21 shows a compilation of the data and analyses results. Curves marked A and B show the load distributions at the 10-year measurements (before the static loading test) and at the maximum sustained load in the static loading test (2,820 kN), respectively. Curves C and D show load distributions calculated using effective stress in fitting the calculated distribution to the 10-year measured load curve. Curve C indicates the distribution for the shaft shear acting in the negative direction along the full length of the pile, and Curve D similarly for the shaft shear acting in the positive direction. The intersection of Curves C and D is the location of the neutral plane. Point E indicates ultimate resistance (plunging load) in the static loading test. Finally, Curve F shows the load distribution calculated from the assumption that the shaft shear was equal to the undrained shear strength determined in the vane shear test shown in Fig. 16A. There is little or no relation between the evaluated shaft shear (negative skin friction) and the undrained in-situ shear strength values. However, the vane tests were performed before the placing of the fill. New vane soundings after the more than ten years of consolidation would have shown larger strength values. It is outside the scope of this text to discuss whether or not the new vane soundings would have produced a load distribution more in agreement with the measured load distribution, Curve C.
FELLENIUS

The evaluated load distribution in the embankment fill corresponds to beta-coefficients of 3.0 in the upper 4 m and 0.6 in the lower about 6 m. A beta-coefficient of 3 is a very high value. As Bozozuk (1972) points out, the values reflect a combination of the large horizontal stress created by the compaction of the engineered fill and the effect of that the embankment settled more in the center, as opposed toward the sides. The latter caused the embankment to bow, which increased the horizontal stress (the earth pressure) in the upper portion of the embankment. The beta-coefficient value of 0.2 in the clay is low but within the range of values found in normally consolidated marine clays. However, because approximations associated with the use of telltale instrumentation and necessary approximations in the author's evaluation, such as the assumption made with regard to the distribution of pore pressures over the lower half of the pile, the indicated beta-coefficients are only approximate values. A repeat of the test using modern methods of instrumentation would probably have resulted in coefficients slightly different to those shown.

That the data below the neutral plane and the lowest piezometer value appear to be suspect should not be taken as rejection of the test results. The case history confirms the results of the tests in Norway and Japan with regard to that the load transfer is governed by effective stress, that the shaft shear in negative and positive direction are equal, that shaft resistance acting over long term is equal to that mobilized in a short term static loading test, and that the beta-coefficient is a function of not just the overburden effective stress, but also of the horizontal stress in the soil. These are first time findings and the papers are enlightening major contributions to the advancement of the state-of-the-art.

Melbourne, Australia

Walker et al. (1973) installed a 760 mm diameter, closed-toe, strain-gage instrumented, pipe pile to an embedment depth of 27 m by driving the piles through 6 m silty sand deposited on 15.5 m stiff silty clay, followed by 3 m of sandy silt on dense sand and gravel. The pile reached 2.5 m into the sand and gravel. An identical pile was coated with 1.5 mm of bitumen though its entire length and driven to an embedment of 24.5 m. The silty sand layer was compact with SPT N-indices smaller than 20 bl/0.3m. The water content of the stiff silty clay layer ranged from 60 % through 100 % and the liquid and plastic limits ranged from 90 through 120 and 30 through 50, respectively. After completion of the pile driving and site instrumentation (piezometers and settlement gages), a 3.0 m thick fill was placed over an area of 200 m by 100 m around the piles. The silty clay is overconsolidated having a consolidation margin of about 80 kPa, much larger than the stress imposed by the 3 m fill.

The surcharge resulted in an increase of pore pressures of about 20 kPa that did not change during the reported monitoring period. Settlement measured at ground surface was small. Over a monitoring period of 238 days after the placing of the surcharge, only about 25 mm of settlement was recorded. Walker et al. (1973) indicated that the measured settlement was due to creep rather than to consolidation. The strain gages in the test pile registered increase of load. Fig. 22 shows also the loads evaluated from the strain gages at 20 m embedment depth.

Fig. 20 Berthierville site. Berthierville site. Load distributions at passive monitoring of the test pile at 1 year, 3 years, 5 years, and 10 years after the pile driving, and for five sustained loads from the static test. Data from Bozozuk (1972; 1981).

Fig. 21 Berthierville site. Load distributions. A. at the 10-year measurements (before the static test) B. at the maximum sustained load in the test, 2,820 kN C. calculated using effective stress, matching to the drag loads measured above the neutral plane D. calculated using the same parameters as in "C" E. ultimate resistance in the static loading test. F. calculated from the vane shear strength Test data A, B, and E from Bozozuk (1981).
in the uncoated pile. The 238-day load distribution in the piles is shown in Fig. 23. As seen, the bitumen all but eliminated the shear forces along the pile. Over the 238 days, the loads in the uncoated pile had built up to a maximum of about 1,800 kN at the neutral plane (the 20 m gage). Because of the small relative movements between the pile and the soil, the transition zone is long and covers essentially the full thickness of silty clay layer. No pile toe load was mobilized, which means that the settlement at the neutral plane was so small that no toe penetration was created. The dashed lines in Fig. 23 indicate the load distribution calculated in an effective stress analysis matched to the data. The beta-coefficient fitted to the load from the fill layer is large, about 2.0. In the fine sand, the fitted beta-coefficient reduces from 1.0 at the upper boundary to 0.8 at the lower boundary, still large for a silty sand. In the sand and gravel, calculations using a beta-coefficient of 0.6 produces a load distribution that matches the measured load distribution.

Clemente (1981) reports a full-scale test involving three instrumented, 419 mm diameter, octagonal, prestressed concrete piles in the island of Oahu, Hawaii. As indicated in Fig. 24, the soils at the site consisted of a 4 m thick sand fill placed a long time earlier over a 36 m thick deposit of soft clay followed by 16 m of stiff silty clay. Hereunder, starting at the depth of 56 m, the soil consisted of a mixture of medium dense to dense coral sand alternating with firm to stiff silty coral clay. The groundwater table was located at the interface between the fill and the soft clay. In July 1977, three test piles, numbered 6, 7, and 8, were driven and instrumented with multi-rod extensometers measuring pile shortening. Piles 6 was driven to 40.0 m depth and Piles 7 and 8 were driven to 49.7 m depth. Before the driving, Pile 7 was painted with a thin asphalt primer and then coated with A85 bitumen over an upper 35 m length, leaving the rest of the pile, 15 m, uncoated. Piles 6 and 8 were not coated. The thickness of the coat was aimed to be 1.5 mm, but because of the warm climate, much of the bitumen flowed off the upper surface and sides of the pile. After the coating, the pile was driven to an embedment depth of 50 m. Piles 6 and 8 were driven to 40 m and 50 m depth, respectively. In August 1977, a 6 m high embankment with a crest area of 18 m by 27 m was placed around the piles and soil settlements and pile shortenings were monitored for 180 days. The shortenings were converted to strain and loads in the piles.

**Fig. 22** Melbourne site. Settlement of ground surface and development in load at 20 m depth in the pile. Data from Walker et al. (1973).

**Fig. 23** Melbourne site. Measured load distribution in uncoated and coated piles, and calculated distribution. Data from Walker et al. (1973).

**Keehi Interchange, Hawaii, USA**
Figure 25 shows the load distributions in the clay below the fill and the settlement distribution after the 180 days. The symbols connected with dashed line show the evaluated loads. The solid lines show the load distributions calculated by effective stress method. The author derived a beta-coefficient equal to 0.25 by matching the calculated loads to the evaluated loads for all three piles. The paper does not report any piezometer observations and the author has assumed that no excess pore pressures remained in the clay at the end of the observation period. The 0.25 value is a lower bound value, because any remaining pore pressures, if known and considered in the calculations, would result in higher beta-coefficients. All three piles developed a force-equilibrium neutral plane. Because of the different conditions of lengths and coating, the neutral planes are at different depths, but the evaluated effective stress parameters are the same for all three piles.

![Graph showing load distributions](image)

**Bangkok, Thailand**

Indraratna et al. (1992) presented a full-scale study of two 400 mm diameter, instrumented precast concrete piles driven into soft Bangkok clay. One of the two piles was coated with a layer bitumen along its upper 20 m length. The bitumen amount was 200 cm²/m², that is, the coat was theoretically 0.2 mm thick. The soil profile consisted of an upper 2 m thick layer of weathered clay followed by 13 m of soft clay with a water content of about 80 %, deposited on 14 m of firm clay with a water content of about 60 % above sand found at 29 m depth.

The piles were driven in five stages with the pile driving interrupted at 8 m, 12 m, 16 m, and 20 m depths for a period of nine days and an uplift, quick method, static loading test performed before the driving was resumed. The final pile depth was 25 m. After the driving, a 2 m high embankment was placed around the piles over an area of 14 m x 24 m, as indicated in Fig. 26. Pile loads and settlement were recorded over a period of 265 days after the placing of the embankment. Fig. 27 shows the load-movements for the pull tests. The figure for the uncoated pile is supplemented with the plot of the distribution of the ultimate load for each pull test versus depth. Despite the quick static loading test and the very thin bitumen coat, the bitumen reduced the maximum shaft resistance to 50 % of the shaft resistance of the uncoated pile.

![Diagram of Bangkok site](image)

**Fig. 25**  Keehi Interchange site. Load and settlement distributions after 180 days. Data from Clemente (1981).

The embankment fill caused the ground to settle. At the ground surface, the settlement was 450 mm. Most of the settlement developed in the upper ten metre depth. The measurements show that further down in the soil, the relative movement between the pile surface and the soil was no more than a few millimetre. Yet both negative skin friction and positive shaft resistance appear to have been fully mobilized. The drag force along the bitumen coated length of Pile 7 amounted to 375 kN, while the drag force along Pile 8 to the same length was 1,770 kN. This means that the less than about 1 mm thick coat of bitumen reduced the negative skin friction to 20 % of that found for the uncoated pile.

**Fig. 26**  Bangkok site. Plan view and section of site.

Figure 28A shows the distribution of load measured 3 days, 25 days, 92 days, 156 days, and 265 days after the placing of the embankment. The figure includes also the ultimate resistances from the four uplift static loading tests. The drag force distribution measured at 265 days and the uplift resistance distribution are quite similar to each other. The figure is supplemented with a curve showing the fitted load distribution for a beta-coefficient of 0.25 above the neutral plane and 0.30 below. The measured toe resistance corresponds to a toe bearing coefficient of 3, which is a small value even in
marine clay. The paper reports vane shear undrained shear strength

values down to a depth of 16 m. A distribution calculated using the vane shear strength values as unit shaft resistance results in a distribution of load that is close to the distribution determined in the static uplifts tests and the drag-load distribution to 16 m depth. (The distribution is not shown in Fig. 26A). Despite the thin bitumen coat, the maximum drag force for the coated pile was reduced to 40% of the maximum drag force in the uncoated pile.

Fig. 27  Bangkok site. Pull test results. Inserted graph shows maximum load versus depth. Data from Indraratna et al. (1992).

Fig. 28A  Bangkok site. Load distributions. Data from Indraratna et al. (1992).

Fig. 28B  Bangkok site. Settlement distribution. Data from Indraratna et al. (1992).

Singapore Port

Leung et al. (1991) presented measurements in a strain-gage instrumented, square 280 mm precast concrete pile driven to 24 m embedment through a 9.5 m silt and clay fill, 8.5 m of marine clay, and 3 m of sandy clay on a shale bedrock. The piles were installed to support a container deck and the allowable load on the piles was 800 kN. Fig. 29 shows the measured load distribution once the deck was built (57 days after the driving) and two years later (745 days). The amount of soil settlement (due probably to the fill) is not reported by Leung et al. (1991), nor do they report settlement of the piled foundation.
The two test piles were 30 m long, 5.5 m of embedment, 200 mm diameter, with a hexagonal cross section, instrumented to measure vertical movement at every two metres to the point of the gage. The container loads constituted a variable, or live load on the piles, which amounted to only about 200 kN/pile during the two-year measurement period. The container loads affected the load distribution in the upper 9 m of the pile. Below this depth, the load distribution was governed by the soil settlement that was large enough to generate negative skin friction along the pile. A neutral plane developed at the lower boundary of the marine clay. The measured load distribution corresponds to a beta-coefficient of 0.5 in the marine clay. Note the similarity between the distribution of load above the neutral plane to that shown in Fig. 20 for the second and third load levels of the Berthierville test. Fig. 28B shows the measured distribution of settlement and the settlement of the pile with an enlargement is small as it is governed by the soil settlement at the location of the neutral plane, which is smaller than 10 mm. The relative movement between the pile and the soil that mobilized the positive shaft resistance below the neutral plane, the last about 7 m length of the pile, was about 2 mm and the toe penetration was about 2 mm, which is commensurate with the very small measured toe resistance.

Fig. 29 Singapore Port site. Load distribution. Data from Leung et al. (1991).

BÄCKEBOL, GÖTEBORG, SWEDEN

Background information

In June 1968, a full-scale test was started in Bäckebol, Sweden, consisting of driving two single, 55 m embedment, 300 mm diameter, hexagonal cross section, load-cell instrumented, spliced, precast concrete piles. The observations continued into August 1983, i.e., for 5,500 days. The objective was to measure the forces developing in a pile due to reconsolidation of the soil after the pile driving, and as caused by soil settlement due to the placing of a fill around the piles.

The Bäckebol site lies at an open area along the Göta River outside the city of Göteborg, in Southwestern Sweden. The area is virgin, untouched by construction since it rose from the sea after the end of the Ice Age. As shown in Fig. 30A, the main soil body consists of a 40 m thick layer of sensitive, marine post-glacial clay, followed by silty sand to large depth. To a depth of about 17 m, the natural water content is about 90% and larger than the liquid limit. Hereunder, the water content reduces with depth, but stays close to the liquid limit. The percentage of clay size particles is about 80% to 20 m depth. From here to 30 m depth, the percentage is about 55%. Details about the site are available in Fellenius and Broms (1969) and Fellenius (1972).

As indicated by the vane shear strength distribution, shown in Fig. 30B, the clay is soft to a depth of about 10 m and firm below this depth. Eleven consolidometer tests performed on samples from depths of 4 m through 32.5 m show that the preconsolidation margin of the clay is about 20 kPa in the upper portion of the clay and increases to about 30 kPa in the lower portion. Fig. 30C presents the compressibility of the clay expressed in Janbu modulus numbers (CFEM 1992 and Janbu 1963; 1998) obtained from the tests. An example of the stress-strain curves representative for the consolidation tests is presented in Fig. 31. The virgin Janbu modulus number, m, ranged from about 4 through 7 with an average of about 5, which indicates a very compressible clay. The reloading modulus number, $m_r$, ranged from 60 through 80 with an average of 75. (The void ratios, $e_0$, for the tested samples ranged from 1.3 through 2.4, the virgin consolidation coefficients, $C_v$, ranged from 0.06 through 0.16, and the reloading consolidation coefficients, $C_r$, ranged from 0.07 through 0.11.) The depth to the groundwater table varies slightly seasonally from close to the ground surface and at about 1.0 m depth. The pore water pressure is hydrostatically distributed.

Before the pile driving, three pneumatic piezometers (Kallstenius and Wallgren 1956) were installed at depths of 9 m, 23 m, and 30 m at a distance of about 0.7 m outside the intended location of each pile. In addition, an axially-flexible-hose multipoint-settlement gage was placed 0.1 m outside each pile location and one 5 m away from the piles, enabling measurements of vertical movement at every two metre to depths to about 33 m. Instrumentation details are described by Fellenius (1972). The distances to the points in the gages was measured with a tape inside the hose and related to elevation by means of surveyor's leveling of the uppermost point. The accuracy of a single tape measurements is about 1 mm and in comparing two or more measurements the accuracy is at best 2 mm.

A load cell was specially developed for the two test piles designed to measure the load in the pile directly, eliminating the need for using the pile modulus to determine the load in the pile. Details about the load cell are available in Fellenius and Haagen (1969). The piles were made up of five 11.0 m long segments spliced in the field as each pile was driven. The load cells were manufactured as 0.6 m long pile segments and
placed in between the first and second, the second and third,

and the third and fourth pile segments in both test piles. Pile PII was also supplied with a load cell at the pile toe. Pile PI was driven to a depth of 53.1 m and Pile PII to 55.0 m. After the driving, a toe telltale was inserted into each pile to measure pile shortening. Details about the piles are available in Fellenius (1972).

The testing activities consisted of the following steps:

1. Taking last "zero" (initial) readings of site gages on June 24, 1968, before driving the piles and recording all gages at frequent intervals following the driving until November 2, 1969 (Day 0 through Day 496)
2. Forming and casting a concrete slab on the piles to provide a load of 440 kN (started on Day 495 and the formwork was removed on Day 517)
3. Recording all gages at frequent intervals until October 31, 1970 (Day 518 through Day 859)
4. Placing a 360 kN of concrete blocks on to the concrete slab to increase pile load to 800 kN (Day 860)
5. Recording all gages at frequent intervals until September 29, 1973 (Day 860 through Day 1,923)
6. Placing a 2 m high fill in a circle with 42 m diameter around the piles (Day 1,924 through Day 1,988)
7. Recording all gages until end of test on September 27, 1982 (Day 1,988 through Day 5,206)
8. Last survey of multipoint settlement gage on August 8, 1983 (Day 5,523)

Results

The driving of the two piles created large excess pore pressures in the clay. Fig. 32 shows pore pressures measured at a depth of 23 m adjacent to the piles. At 23 m depth, the vertical effective overburden stress before the pile driving was about 120 kPa. Obviously, for the first few days after the pile driving, the piles are standing in a highly remolded soil with zero effective stress—essentially a liquid. The pore pressures required about five months to return to the before-pile-driving level.

Fellenius and Broms (1969) present observations of soil movements during and immediately following the driving. The driving of the piles into the sand caused the sand to compact. Precision leveling immediately after the end of the driving (EOD) showed that the sand surface settled 9 mm at Pile I and 7 mm at Pile II during the driving. The simultaneous measurements showed that the clay layer expanded due to the driving of the displacement piles. As shown in Fig. 33, the upper 5 m portion of the ground next to the pile heaved about 20 mm relative to the bottom of the clay layer, resulting in a net heave of the ground surface. Below 2.5 m depth, the upward movement of the clay was smaller than the settlement of the boundary between the clay and the sand. Thus, but for the uppermost about 2.5 m, the net effect during the driving of the two single piles is a downward movement of the soil in relation to the piles. During the first few days following the driving, the concrete piles lengthened (swelled) about 1 mm from absorbing water from the soil. During the first 150 days following the driving, the clay compressed (settled) due to the reconsolidation.

Fig. 32 Bäckebol site. Pore pressure measured at 23 m

The survey method of measuring settlement at the site was not accurate enough to record the settlement until the measurements had continued for a few years. However, as the monitoring proceeded, it was realized that a small continued settlement was occurring in the area that was equal in magnitude to the isostatic land rise, i.e., about a millimetre a year at the ground surface. The settlement of the ground surface away from the piles was measured to be about 10 mm to 15 mm over the first 500 days. Next to the piles it was somewhat smaller (Fellenius 1971; 1972).

Fig. 33A Bäckebol site. Heave (negative numbers) near Pile PII during the first 150 days after driving.

Fig. 33B Bäckebol site. Settlement measured near Pile PII during the first 150 days after driving.

The load-cell measurements showed no change during the very first days when the measured pore pressures exceeded the calculated effective overburden stress. That is, the small heave and lengthening of the pile due to absorption of water did not coincide with any change of load in the pile. Thereafter, the
loads measured in the piles started to increase coinciding with the dissipation of the pore pressures. The forces are built up from accumulation of negative skin friction due to the relative movement between the pile and the clay. Fig. 34 shows the development of pile forces with time after the pile driving.

The shortening of the piles due to the measured forces during the first 500 days before any load was placed on the pile head amounted to about 5 mm, and the pile toe movement (penetration) into the silty sand was about the same magnitude. Load-cell M4 shows that the pile toe force increased from 80 kN to 200 kN.

At the end of driving, EOD, the forces in the pile were about equal to the buoyant weight of the pile. As the drag force from the clay increased, positive resistance was mobilized in the lower portion of the pile. The development is best discerned in Fig. 35 showing the vertical distributions of force in the piles. When placing the first 440 kN on the pile head (Test Activity No. 2), the drag force was essentially eliminated. The small soil movements continued and, therefore, the drag force continued to develop, as shown in Figs. 34 and 35. The rapid placement of the additional 360 kN on the piles (Test Activity No. 4), eliminated the drag force, temporarily, and actually created a small positive shaft resistance along the piles. Each of the two applications of load to the pile heads resulted in a total pile shortening of about 2 mm to 3 mm over the length in the clay. The shortening at the 20 m mid-depth is about 1 mm to 2 mm. After the two loads had been placed on the pile heads, the total pile shortening since the pile driving was 9 mm, about equal or marginally smaller than the settlement of the ground surface close to the piles at that time. That is, the forces and force changes in the pile are associated with relative movements between the pile and the soil smaller than about 2 mm.
and at final stabilized loads (Pile PI at Day 2,650 and Pile PII at Day 3,128).
After adding the load to the pile heads and about a year of continued monitoring, a 2 m high fill was placed around the piles in a circular area with a 41 m diameter (during Days 1,923 through 1,988). The fill accelerated the settlement and the development of drag force. Within about 3 months, the forces in the piles reached their maximum values, which level stayed constant for the duration of the following about 3,000 days of monitoring the site. Pile PII was damaged above ground breaking the cable connections to the load cells some time after Day 2,650 and no further records from the load cells in PII were obtained. Monitoring of the site and of Pile I continued until Day 5,206, almost fifteen years after the end of pile driving.

The maximum load measured in load cell M5 was 1,670 kN. Subtracting the load applied to the pile head, the maximum drag force recorded by load cell M5 is 870 kN. A calculation applying the vane shear strength as negative skin friction results in a calculated drag force of 3,500 kN, about four times larger than the measured drag force. According to Swedish practice, described by Holtz and Wennerstrand (1972), a liquid limit of 85 necessitates reduction to 75% of the measured value, i.e., the calculated drag force would become about 2,600 kN, still much larger than measured.

An effective stress analysis has been matched to the distribution of pile load applied on Day 2,650 in Pile PII and Day 3,128 in Pile PI. The beta-coefficients in the clay for fitting the calculations to the measured loads between the ground surface and first load cell level, between first and second load cell levels, and between second and third load cell levels, are 0.18, 0.15, and 0.14, which are low values. The dashed line shown in Fig. 35 indicates the calculated distribution. The measured forces suggest that the force equilibrium lies below load cells M1 and M5. The dashed line is drawn, somewhat arbitrarily, to reflect this. The slope of the dashed line in the sand upward from the toe load cell is calculated using a beta-coefficient of 0.6.

The averages of unit shear force along the pile are obtained from differentiating the loads between the load cells and presented in Fig. 36. For clarity, the values are only plotted up to Day 3,000. The values are about constant beyond that date. The average shaft shear, showing a maximum value corresponding to a beta-coefficient of 0.33 recorded in Pile PII between load cells M4 and M5 in the sand, includes a short distance of negative skin friction above the neutral plane and the positive shaft resistance below. Calculations using the mentioned 0.6 beta-coefficient in the sand places the location of the neutral plane about 4 m below load cell M5, at 46.5 m depth.

To measure the pile shortening, new telltales were installed in Pile PI at the start of the placing of the fill. Together with the survey of the pile head, the telltales determined the pile toe movement, as shown in Fig. 37. Fig. 38 shows the pile toe load-movement from the start of the test. The toe movement is accurately known only in Pile PI and the toe load only in Pile PII (load cell M4). Fig. 38 shows a combination of the two measurements: toe load versus toe movement. The buoyant weight of the pile has been subtracted from all load values. The starting point is the net toe load immediately after the end of the pile driving. As shown, the load-movement curve is approximately linear and does not show any sign of approaching an ultimate value. The maximum toe penetration corresponds to about 5% of the pile diameter.

The measurements and analysis indicate that the total ultimate shaft resistance in the clay and underlying sand was about 3,000 kN. The mobilized toe resistance was 300 kN (including the pile weight) for a total toe penetration of about 13 mm. The total pile shortening for the 800 kN applied load plus drag force was about 18 mm.

Measurements of settlement showed that most settlement occurred in the upper layers. Fig. 39 shows the settlement measured next to Pile PII at different days after the start. The data show clearly that most settlement occurred above the ten metre depth where the stress from the fill exceeded or was abut equal to the preconsolidation margin. The settlement data are inconsistent if the survey information would be taken as deciding for the long-term settlement of the ground surface. If so, all the three multipoint settlement hoes would indicate that the settlement below the 32 m depth would be three times as large as the settlement of about 9 mm measured to have occurred between the depths of 10 m and 32 m. Obviously, the casing supporting the upper portion of the multipoint settlement hose appears to have moved due, probably, to seasonal influences. The individual measurements presented in Fig. 39 are plotted as if no settlement occurred below 32 m depth from the time that the fill was placed. Clearly, a few millimetre of settlement must have occurred also below the lowest point.

In Fig. 40, the measured settlements below 10 m depth are shown as measured on Day 2,044, the day all of the fill had been placed and on Day 4,362 almost seven years later, when the last reading was taken. Below about 30 m depth, the difference between the curves is only 2 mm. Probably, assigning the settlements to be equal at 32 m depth has cut a few millimetre off the true settlement. Still, it is clear that the settlement at depth was very small. Yet, it fully mobilized negative skin friction between the load-cells M1 and M2 and M5 and M6 within a year after placing the fill, as shown in Fig. 36.

A comparison between the measured settlement and that calculated from the soil data is shown in Fig. 41. Fig. 41A shows the effective stress, the stress from the fill per Boussinesq distribution, and the distribution of preconsolidation stress. Fig. 41B shows the distribution of settlement calculated from the values plotted in A and the settlement distribution measured at Day 4,362 next to Pile PII. Note the stress from the fill is so close to the preconsolidation margin that a change of a 1 kPa or so, one way or the other, would significantly alter the calculated settlement distribution. However, the two curves show agreement and support the conclusion that most of the settlement at the site from the placed fill occurred in the upper ten metre of soil and that only very small relative settlement occurred between the pile and the clay below ten metre depth.
Fig. 36  Bäckebol site. Measured average shear forces between the load cells.
CONCLUSIONS

Several generally applicable conclusions of importance for the design of piled foundations can be drawn from the reported full-scale tests, as summarized below. However, presenting design recommendations is outside the scope of the this paper. For such, see Fellenius (2004).

- Piles are usually installed to transfer loads through soft or loose soil layers to more competent soil. Under such conditions, negative skin friction will always develop along the piles and accumulate to drag force.
- The case histories have shown that effective stress governs the load transfer from soil to pile and from pile to soil.
- Load distribution calculated from undrained shear strength values may occasionally agree with actual load distribution when no excess pore pressures exist, but this appears more to be a coincidence as opposed to a general rule.
- At all times, an equilibrium will exist between loads sustained on the pile head and the drag force acting downward and the positive shaft resistance and toe resistance acting upward. That is, a force equilibrium point, called neutral plane, will always develop. The location of the equilibrium will adjust to a change of sustained load or change of pore pressure.
- If the soil settlement is large and the pile toe response is stiff, the neutral plane will be located very close to the pile toe.
A few millimetre of movement between the pile surface and the soil can be sufficient to fully mobilize the shaft shear in negative as well as positive directions.

The length of the zone of transition from negative direction shear force to positive direction is a function of the magnitude of the movement between the pile surface and the soil, or more precisely, the relative settlement gradient. Small relative movement will result in a long transition zone and large relative movement will result in a short transition zone.

The neutral plane location is the location of the force equilibrium, which is where the transition from negative to positive shear direction is completed. It is also the location where there is no relative movement between the pile and the soil. That is, at the neutral plane, the settlement of the soil and the settlement of the pile are equal, from which follows that the settlement of the pile head is the soil settlement at the neutral plane plus the pile shortening for the load.

The load in a pile at the neutral plane is the maximum load in the pile and it is the sum of the sustained load (dead load) and the drag force. A temporary load, such as a live load, will not add to the load at the neutral plane. The load at the neutral plane will not change (unless the live load is so large as to totally eliminate the drag force, that is, the live load has to be twice the drag force at the neutral plane, a rather unlikely design case).

Two single piles of different length, or of same length but having different pile toe response, with the same dead load applied to the pile head, can have a different depths to the neutral plane. They will therefore experience different downdrag (settlement), because settlement at the two neutral plane locations is different. It follows that two such piles connected to a common pile cap will have the same depth to the neutral plane, if the cap is sufficiently rigid to enforce that the pile head movements are the same for both piles. Therefore, the two piles cannot have the same dead load, but must attract different magnitude dead loads, as determined by the magnitudes necessary for the piles to develop that same location of the neutral plane. It also follows that the pile toe penetration must be of similar magnitude, and, inasmuch the pile toe stiffnesses are different, the pile toe resistances will be different in magnitude.

A very thin — about a millimetre or two — coat of bitumen of wide range of viscosity will significantly reduce the shear forces along a pile surface.

The effect of the bitumen is, of course, to reduce the negative skin friction and the drag force. It will also move the neutral plane deeper and, possibly to a depth where the settlement is smaller and, therefore, the downdrag will be smaller. The drag force will have changed to a lesser degree. Note that the bitumen coat will also reduce the pile

---

**Fig. 41**  
A. Bäckebol site. Distribution of effective stress, preconsolidation stress, and stress from fill.  
B. Distribution calculated settlement measured at Day 4,362 next to Pile PII.
capacity and the factor of safety of the pile in carrying the applied load.
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